

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM REUBART, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-DJA

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 159)

In this capital habeas corpus action, after a 120-day initial period following the filing of Respondents' answer, Petitioner Randolph L. Moore, who is represented by appointed counsel, was due to file a reply to the answer by January 6, 2023. See Order entered June 13, 2022 (ECF No. 157) (120 days for reply).

On January 6, 2023, Moore filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 159), requesting an extension of time to March 6, 2023, for his reply. This would be an extension of 59 days (not 90 days, as Moore characterizes it). Moore's counsel states that he needs this extension of time because of his obligations in other cases. Moore's counsel represents that Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

///

///

///

///


///

///

///

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time
2 (ECF No. 159) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **March 6, 2023**, to
3 file a reply to Respondents' answer. In all other respects, the schedule for further
4 proceedings set forth in the February 5, 2019, scheduling order (ECF No. 51), will
5 remain in effect.

6
7 DATED January 9, 2023.

8
9 
10 _____
11 JAMES C. MAHAN
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28